

Theory of Everything
By Slavoj Žižek

If you take my books, all of them together, and set up a true borromean knot with it, in the precise Sirohian sense, of just one ring, as independent and autonomous, to in fact model the arguments, a theory of everything emerges. Take political processes discussed in the book *Ticklish Subject*, from Balibar to Badiou and Ranciere, and put it in line with a knot, or more precisely a ring from the borromean knot, you decipher its elements. Balibar and Badiou, with Ranciere, is about taking the economic position of Balibar, as wage repression in economics, and constellating it with Badiou's pure politics of subtraction, as a argument on the form of distance from the state and separation from the Idea of dictatorship of the bourgeoisie or rule of law, and then add to it the violent poetic movement of Ranciere, a demonstration at Madrid Square, and you set up this way a Lacanian logical square of four positions, the surplus to the three being, the properly Žizekian-Sirohian position, of mediating the external positing of Badiou and Ranciere, by the internal contradiction of Balibar and economic ontological impasses, that are then won by the people, in the syllogism Rage, Rebellion, New Power, which according to Sirohi is the higher standpoint of Slavoj Žižek's invention of contingency in seizing the opportunity to take power, offered immediately after the horizontal protest, because the immanence of the truth is that contingent. It does not according to Sirohi, diverge into populist manipulation or failed electoral parliamentary politics, it is an immanence of the logic of the mass struggle itself. This is because, how should I put it, the work of universality demands its answer according to Sirohi's reading even of the utopian outcome finally of Tahrir Square as the recent victory of Islamic republican parties in Egypt. This process was blocked according to Sirohi by the wrong information that Muslim Brotherhood is a negative phenomenon dominant in Western media contaminating theory. Actually Brotherhood was a part of the Christian support Muslims got to it in Egypt. A military formation took some power, but actually waited for the people to decide the true representatives and now Egypt is a more just and liberal formation than ever before. Hosni Mubarak has lost, and the people have won as Sirohi celebrates the cause. Alone among all to defiantly support even Iranian revolution, though not Palestine. Though Sirohi always takes the wrong step in the wrong direction for spontaneous remarks, he is correct on every wrong gesture.

I. Economics

The independent borromean ring, is then a process of modelling even economics. It means that the first ring argues that there is a global capitalist market, or a world market, gridded by exchange processes called finance because it is a surplus to the financial gains of even the highest corporation, and then there is as its material production process, socially necessary labour time. This process then expands like Sirohian moments. For example take the material production center in New York, some suburban factory belt, and expand it into GPN, or global production networks, it is linked to Detroit, China and even parts of South India in Chennai, and other parts of Chile and even Slovenia. This way the horizontal GPN, gets mapped onto the vertical process of integration from loose clothes in a cheap market to the highest retailer, all of them have networks of mediation between people, production and wages, even monetary financial corporate deals, like this one builds up a concrete model of the entire economy available in Richard Altuniz's *Meanings of Work*.